

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2001

LB 536

build a market in industrial uses of corn, whether it's fructose, starch or the big one that we've talked about, ethanol. To say that the industrial uses of corn has not made a difference or has not helped Nebraska is just wrong. We've had a tremendous amount of success in building plants here and we've moved the market to higher levels. Let's talk about whether we ought to have this industrial use, this crushing, or ethanol plants in states other than Nebraska, especially west where the demand for ethanol could be, Nebraska is the prime location for them. We have an abundant amount of water for the industrial process. We have still relatively low commodity prices, and they're going to stay that way. We've got livestock that can use this by-product. It's much less expensive to ship the ethanol from here to the west coast than it is to ship the bulk commodities, the electricity, the water it takes to process this product. We are well positioned to be a world leader and to accept the increased demand that we're going...we're going to see through the years. So the use of corn through crushing it and making it into other products has been a tremendous success. It's made a lot of money for Nebraska. It hasn't changed world prices of corn. It hasn't changed the United States prices of corn to a large extent because of federal farm policy that has allowed us to continually have surpluses. But for Nebraska, for that basis between what it was 20 years ago and to what it is now, it has made a tremendous amount of difference. And so I rise in opposition to the reconsideration motion to refer this back to committee.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Wehrbein, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President, and members, I want Senator Chambers to listen to this because I am going to orate a little bit on world agriculture. (Laughing) There was a conference in Washington about ten days ago to determine, I'll...I'm paraphrasing so I may not be a hundred percent accurate, but it was to determine somewhat the future agricultural policy, United States. It is not the formal agricultural policy but it was...there was about 100 people there, I understand, mostly agribusiness and five farmers. And this is the report that I got. I read it when I was home last night in the Farm Dateline